



# **Attentional Effect in Motion-Induced Position Shift**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychology, Glendon College, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada <sup>2</sup> Centre for Vision Research, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada <sup>3</sup> Department of Psychology, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada

## Mohammad Shams<sup>1,2</sup>, Aurore Maloh<sup>3</sup>, Peter Kohler<sup>2,3</sup>, Patrick Cavanagh<sup>1,2</sup>



## Conclusion

Endogenous attention has significant effect in Exp. 1, supporting attentional repulsion.

Endogenous attention has a weaker effect in Exp. 2 than in Exp. 1, perhaps because participants were not informed explicitly.

Exogenous shift of attention has a stronger effect than endogenous shift of attention.

Motion repulsion ahead of direction aligns with attentional repulsion but endogenous attention was possibly offset by exogenous attention ahead of motion onset.

### References

1 Whitney & Cavanagh (2000). Motion Distorts Visual Space. Nature.

2 Cavanagh & Anstis (2013). Flash Grab Effect. Vision Research

3 Shams, Kohler, Cavanagh (2022). The Role of a Short-Term Visual Buffer in Localizing Objects. ECVP, Nijmegen, Netherlands.

4 Szinte, Carrasco, Cavanagh, Rolfs (2015). Attentional trade-offs maintain the tracking of moving objects across saccades. Journal of Neurophysiology.

5 Suzuki & Cavanagh (1997). Focused Attention Distorts Visual Space. Journal of Experimental Psychology.



VSS2024 - St. Pete Beach, FL, USA